Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/827,433	MIZUTANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM	2477	
All Participants:	Status of Application: amendment		
(1) <u>SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>PHILLIP J. ARTICOLA</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 June 2010	Time: <u>1.30 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1, 6. 7 and 12			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477			
(4	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized an entry of Examiner's amendment to include claim 20 into claim 1, claim 21 into claims 7 and 12 and to make minor changes to claims 7, 12, 14 and 15 and to cancel claims 6, 20 and 21 in order to bring the case to allowance condition.